



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/589,200	06/08/2000	Simon G. Thompson	36-1494	9948
23117	7590	12/21/2007	EXAMINER	
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			NAHAR, QAMRUN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2191	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/21/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/589,200	THOMPSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Qamrun Nahar	2191	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Qamrun Nahar. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Raymond Y. Mah (Reg. No. 41,426). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: S. Jablonski in view of Schmidt, et al.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant had requested further clarification on the Examiner's suggestion to amend claims 1 and 10 to include recitations such as "process aspects" and "generic process pattern" as stated in the previous Office Action. Examiner's Response: The Examiner had suggested introduction of recitations such as "process aspects" and "generic process pattern" and their respective definitions in each of the independent claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

## Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No. 09/589,200First Named Applicant: THOMPSON et al.Examiner: Qamrun NaharArt Unit: 2191Status of Application: After non-final rejection

## Tentative Participants:

(1) Qamrun Nahar (2) Raymond Y. Mah (Reg. No. 41,426)  
 (3) \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed date of Interview: To be Determined Proposed Time: To be Determined (AM/PM)

## Type of Interview Requested:

(1)  Telephonic or (2)  Personal (3)  Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:  YES  NO

If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

## Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc.)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §103</u>	<u>1-29</u>	<u>S. Jablonski in view of Schmidt, et al,</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

 Continuation Sheet Attached

## Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:



The outstanding Office Action states, "The Examiner suggests the applicant to amend claims 1 and 10 to include recitations such as 'process aspects' and 'generic process pattern' as described on pg. 7, par. 3 to pg. 8, par. 2 of the instant application." During the interview, Applicant wishes to discuss at least the Examiner's suggestion.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on

12/18/07 @ 3 pm

## NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, application is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.


 Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)
(Examiner/SPE Signature)


This collection of information is required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 122 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.